

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,866	07/21/2006	Keitaro Yonezawa	YONE3025/JJC/PMB	8126	
23364 7590 02/25/2010 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			EXAMINER		
			HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M		
FOURTH FLO ALEXANDRI	OR A, VA 22314-1176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3753		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/586,866	YONEZAWA ET AL.	YONEZAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
STEPHEN HEPPERLE	3753			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

C4-4			

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.33(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum statistory period with apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  - Failure for reply within the ast or extended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ARMONDED (38 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 December 2009</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
   Information Disclosure Statement(c) (PTO/S0/05)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/9/09.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_

Application/Control Number: 10/586,866

Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brunner (5,063,961). Brunner shows a cylindrical valve casing 10 screwed into a cylinder housing (col. 5, line 63) such that the casing seals at the bottom (left side) of the bore by seals 30 and 30' One bottom passage 32 is eccentric, and another bore extends through a peripheral surface of the bore. At least one of the passages extending from the bottom of the bore leads ultimately to a cylinder. There are valve elements 44, 37 inside the casing. The specific labels given to the ports and passages have been accorded no weight, as the passages are not connected to anything beyond the valve except for an actuation chamber.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/586,866

Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 177133/1975. JP 133 shows a valve for a cylinder M that is screwed in and is sealed substantially at the bottom of the valve bore (left end in Fig. 1). One port is located in the side of the mounting hole (IN). The other port 2 appears to be concentric with the valve bore, but it would have been obvious to provide any orientation of the port to the valve that provides sufficient flow and is convenient to the needed orientation of the overall fluid system. It would also have been obvious to place the left most o-ring on the bottom (left end) of the valve to provide equivalent sealing to the position shown.

Claim 6 is alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 177133/1975.

Claims 1-4 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gruschwitz shows a cartridge valve with an eccentric port 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,866

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHEN HEPPERLE whose telephone number is (571)272-4913. The examiner can normally be reached on flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,866 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/STEPHEN HEPPERLE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753